(b) The purpose of Shri L. K. Jha*» mission was to explain our approach to North-South issues to tht-, leaders of the major industrialised countries and to explore the possibility of beginning some dialogue on these issues. The suggestions made by us in this regard received considerable 'attention at the London Summit and a personal emissary of the British Prime Minister visited Delhi after the Summit to convey the response.

The London Economic Declaration issued by the Summit notes the concerns ol the developing countries and the difficulties faced by them and recognises the reality of inter-dependence. However, it reaffirms the primary role of the existing Bretton Woods institutions for continuing discussions on issues relating to money, finance and development and does not offer much hope of a new impetus to tbe present stalemate in the North-South dialogue. O_n the whole the Declaration is not very forthcoming on issues of concern to developing countries.

Power project;

1130. SHRI VITHALBHAI MOTIRAM PATEL: Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state;

Ca) whether it is a fin* that most of the States are facing acute shortage of powarj

(b) whether it is a fact that delay in the sanctioning of power projects is responsible for shortage of power in the. country;

(c) if so, what ar_e the reasons for the delay ia sanctioning the power projects;

(d) what steps are being taken to remove acute shortage of power; and

(e) th_e number of proposals for setting up of power stations which are pending for clearance, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING (SHRI P. C. SETHI): (a) No, Sir. Of the 22 States, only 10 States had power shortages of varying degTree during the first quarter of the current year. The Statewise position is in the enclosed Statement—I.

(b) No, Sir. All the generation schemes included for benefits in the Sixth Plan $w_e$re sanctioned and or were' in different stages of construction prior to the commencement of the Sixth Five Year Plan. :

(c) Does not arise.

(d) A number of measures have been taken to improve availability of power such as:

(i) high priority in allocation of resources for commissioning of additional installed capacity envisaged in the Plan. Monitoring has been intensified both at Central and State level;

(ii) specific plan allocation of resources for implementation renovation and modernisation programme in identified sick units in order to increase their productivity/plant load factor;

(iii) a mor_e effective monitoring/ implementation of transmission and distribution programmes in order to ensure power evacuation from power stations and inter and intra-regional transfer of power;

(iv) increased emphasis on development of hydel resources, energy conservation, reduction in line $losse_s$ and greater attention to the training of personnel in construction and operational areas.

(e) Information on the number of proposals for setting up of power stations pending clearance in th_e Commission is in the enclosed Statement II.

Statement-I

All India Region-wise and State-wise Power Supply Position during period April, 1984 to June, 1984

	Energy shortage/surplus in percent April-June, 1984	
All India	(—)	5·7
Northern Region	(—)	**8·5**
Haryana	(—)	20·5
Himachal Pradesh	(+)	20·0
Jammu & Kashmir	(+)	2·5
Punjab	(—)	7·8
Rajasthan	(—)	8·0
Uttar Pradesh	(—)	12·8
Western Region	(—)	**1·5**
Gujarat	(+)	4·2
Madhya Pradesh	(+)	4·3
Maharashtra	(—)	6·5
Southern Region	(+)	**0·9**
Andhra Pradesh	(+)	10·2
Karnataka	(—)	16·2
Kerala	(—)	12·1
Tamil Nadu	(+)	12·1
Eastern Region	(—)	21·1
Bihar	(—)	44·4
West Bengal	(+)	0.9
D.V.C.	(—)	20·2
Orissa	(—)	31·4
£*Northern Eastern Region*	(+)	8·4
Assam		
Manipur		
Meghalaya		
Nagaland		
Tripura		

£ State-wise data not maintained.

(–) Energy Deficit (+) Energy Surplus.

Statement—II

List of Projects recommended by Department of Power but not approved by Planning Commission as on 30-7-1984

Sl. No.	Name of the Project	Cost (Rs. lakhs)	Installed Capacity (MW)	Date of recommendation by Deptt. of Power	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
	HYDRE				
1	R.M. Canal Hydro Scheme Rajasthan	611	3x2=6	13-2-82	Funding/*Inter se* priority of projects has been asked for from State Government. Reply awaited.
2	Suratgarh Mini Hydel Scheme—Rajasthan	527	2x2=4	7-12-82	
3	Charanwala Mini Hydel Scheme—Rajasthan	286	1x2=2	3-11-83	
4	Pala Maneri—U.P.	12616	3x47·5=142·5	16-2-81	The State was not in a position to fund even the on-going projects adequately within the available resources. The State was also requested to assign *Inter-se* priority to new starts which has to be indicated by them.
5.	Dadupur —Haryana	741	4x1·5=6	27-1-82	State Govt. has been requested to furnish the financing pattern and priority vis-a-vis Yamuna Nagar T.P.S. and Nathpa Jhakri H.E. Project. This is awaited.
6.	Shivapur—Karnataka	1822	2x9=18	19-10-82	Constraint of resources in the State Plan *Inter se* priority of new projects being looked into/ ascertained.
7.	Mallapur—Karnataka	1211	2x4·5-9	18-11-83	Constraint of resources in the State. *Inter se* priority of new projects being looked into/ ascertained.
8.	Dhansiri—Assam	1053	20	18-3-82	Some additional geological investigations are to be carried out according to the State Government.

1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	Teesta Canal (Fall No.I)	2655	22·5	10-6-83	State Govt. was requested to indicate financing pattern and priority between two schemes on 8-7-83. The position will be reviewed after schemewise/sectoral break up of the agreed outlay for 1984-85 has been settled. State Govt. was informed.
10.	Teesta Canal (Fall No. II, III and IV)– West Bengal	5436	45	25-5-83	
11.	Upper Kolab– Orissa	1862	1x80-80	29-5-80	There were no funds in 1983-84 Plan. The scheme is under processing for approval.
12.	Thuhi H.E.P. Punjab	186	2x0·395-0·790	18-5-84	Constraint of resources in State Plan. State Govt. addressed to indicate *Inter se* priority.
13.	Rohti H.E.P. Punjab	179	2x0·395-0·790	18-5-84	
14.	Midampur H.E.P. Punjab	195	2x0·395-0·790	18-5-84	
15.	Dhariwal H.E.P. Punjab	195	2x0·395-0·790	18-5-84	
16.	Malampuzha H.E.P. Kerala	294·6	1x2·5-2·5	22-2-84	Constraint of resources, State Govt. addressed.
17.	Madupaty H.E.P. Kerala	292·3	1x2-2	22-2-84	
18.	Mavattupuzha Valley Irrigation Project Kerala	780·2	1x6-6	2-2-84	
19	Sardar Sarovar HEP– Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra	106337·0	6x200+5x50=1450	22-2-84	Clearance from environmental angle awaited.
	THERMAL				
1	Palana Lignite Rajasthan	6738	2x60=120	23-6-80	Cost of the scheme has gone up from Rs. 6738 lakhs to Rs. 17200 lakhs. Revised techno-economic clearance considered necessary. This arquirement not yet met. State Govt. addressed to indicate funding arrangements and *Inter se* priority.

1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	Yamunagar– Haryana	31520	2x210-420	30-7-82	State Govt. has been requested to furnish the financing pattern and priority vis-a-vis Dadupur HE Project and Nathpa Jhakri H.E. Project, Reply is awaited.
3.	Kutch Lignite Extn. Gujarat	6925	1x70-70	31-12-82	State Govt. requested to furnish the schemewise breakup of approved outlay of Rs. 260 crores for 1984-85.
4.	Lakwa Waste Heat Plant Assam	2052	1x22=22	25-4-82	C.E.A. in 1982 considered this scheme as of low priority on account of the North Eastern Region being surplus and also the need for exploiting hydel resources. CEA again requested to review position in July, 1984.
5.	Gas Based Thermal Project at Ramgarh– Rajasthan	394	1x3=3	18-11-83	State Govt. has been requested to indicate financing arrangements and *Inter se* priority among all new starts. Reply awaited.
6.	Raichur Thermal Power Station Extn. Unit-3 Karnataka	15988	1x210-210	15-2-84	Constraint of resources in the State. *Inter se* priority of new project being looked into/ ascertained.
7.	North Madras TPS– Tamil Nadu	54779	3x210-630	29-5-84	Constraint of resources in the State Plan. Coal and water availability also being ascertained. State Govt. addressed.
8.	Tuticorin TPS St. III Tamilnadu	35638·0	2x210-420	29-5-84	Constraint of resources in the State Plan. Environmental clearance also awaited.
9.	Lakwa Gas Turbine T.P.S. Ph. II– Assam	3401·48	4x15-60	Nil 5/84	Clearance from Deptt. of Environment awaited.